

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/593,861	Applicant(s) COLOMBO ET AL.	
	Examiner SATYANARAYANA R. GUDIBANDE	Art Unit 1654	

All Participants:

(1) SATYANARAYANA R. GUDIBANDE.

(2) Mr. Dorchak.

Date of Interview: 30 June 2009

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
None

Claims discussed:
17-19

Prior art documents discussed:
None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Mr. Dorchak was contacted with a proposed examiner's amendment to place the application in condition for allowance on 6/30/09. Mr. Dorchak agreed to the proposed amendments to claims on 17 and 19 on 7/1/09. Mr. Dorchak was again contacted on 7/13/09 to submit an amendment to specification to incorporate "Brief descriptions to drawings" to appear on page 7 of the specification to correct a deficiency noted during processing. The IDS's submitted on 1/3/07 and 1/19/07 have been annotated to add date (year) to listed references.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Satyanarayana R Gudibande/
Examiner, Art Unit 1654

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

